## THEMBELIHLE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

TENDER NO.:TLM08/2024/25: APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR THE PROVISION OF PROFESSIONAL CIVIL AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES IN PLANNING, DESIGN, DOCUMENTATION AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF VARIOUS MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS FOR A PERIOD OF 3 YEARS

| Clause | Addition or Variation to Standard  | Conditions of Ter  | nder   |            |  |
|--------|--|--|--|------------|--|
|        | T: Schedule 1: Experience of Tenderer with reference letters for Functionality Criteria  |  |  |            |  |
|        | U: Schedule 2: Experience of Key Personnel with CV an  | ule 2: Experience of Key Personnel with CV and certified supporting documents  |  |            |  |
|        | V: Schedule 3: Approach Paper (Methodology)  |  |  |            |  |
| 3.1    | REPLACE THE CONTENTS OF THE CLAUSE WITH THE FOLLOWING:   |  |  |            |  |
|        | "Respond to a request for clarification received up to ten working days before the Tender closing time stated in the Tender Data and notify all Tenderers who drew procurement documents within seven working days of the same date."  |  |  |            |  |
| 3.4    | The time and location for opening of the tender offers are:  |  |  |            |  |
|        | Directly after the tender close, subject to compliance and adherence to the applicable COVID-19 protocols and regulations.   |  |  |            |  |
| 3.5    | A two-envelope procedure will not be followed  |  |  |            |  |
| 3.8.3  | Add the following new sub item to Item 3.8:  |  |  |            |  |
|        | Functionality Criteria   |  |  |            |  |
|        | The functionality criteria are as listed in the table below:   |  |  |            |  |
| 1      |  |  |  |            |  |
|        | Quality criteria   | Evaluation<br>Schedule   | Maximum<br>number of<br>points   |            |  |
|        | Quality criteria  Experience of the tenderer   |  |  |            |  |
|        |  | Schedule   | number of points   |            |  |
|        | Experience of the tenderer   | Schedule Schedule 1  | number of points   |            |  |
|        | Experience of the tenderer Experience of key personnel:  | Schedule 1 Schedule 2  | number of points  60  40   |            |  |
|        | Experience of the tenderer Experience of key personnel: Approach paper (Methodology)   | Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 in accordance with the  | number of points 60 40 20 120 the Functionality Cr   |            |  |
| 3.11.1 | Experience of the tenderer  Experience of key personnel:  Approach paper (Methodology)  Maximum possible score for quality (Ms)  The Tenderer must comply with the minimum requirements  | Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 in accordance with tallocation of the Full  | number of points  60  40  20  120  the Functionality Criteria t  | o qualify. |  |
| 3.11.1 | Experience of the tenderer  Experience of key personnel:  Approach paper (Methodology)  Maximum possible score for quality (Ms)  The Tenderer must comply with the minimum requirements table above and must obtain at least 60% under the Points  Only tenders that pass the eligibility criteria shall be evaluated.   | Schedule Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 in accordance with the Allocation of the Fulled. Evaluation shall  | number of points  60  40  20  120  the Functionality Criteria t  | o qualify. |  |
| 3.11.1 | Experience of the tenderer  Experience of key personnel:  Approach paper (Methodology)  Maximum possible score for quality (Ms)  The Tenderer must comply with the minimum requirements table above and must obtain at least 60% under the Points  Only tenders that pass the eligibility criteria shall be evaluate (Functionality, Price and Preferences).   | Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 in accordance with a Allocation of the Fundament Control of the Fundament Con | number of points 60 40 20 120 the Functionality Crinctionality Criteria to be done in terms of   | o qualify. |  |
| 3.11.1 | Experience of the tenderer  Experience of key personnel:  Approach paper (Methodology)  Maximum possible score for quality (M <sub>s</sub> )  The Tenderer must comply with the minimum requirements table above and must obtain at least 60% under the Points  Only tenders that pass the eligibility criteria shall be evaluate (Functionality, Price and Preferences).  The financial offer will be scored using Formula 2 (Option 1)  - 80 where the financial value inclusive of VAT of one   | Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 in accordance with a Allocation of the Fundament Control of the Fundament Con | number of points 60 40 20 120 the Functionality Crinctionality Criteria to be done in terms of   | o qualify. |  |
|        | Experience of the tenderer  Experience of key personnel:  Approach paper (Methodology)  Maximum possible score for quality (M <sub>s</sub> )  The Tenderer must comply with the minimum requirements table above and must obtain at least 60% under the Points  Only tenders that pass the eligibility criteria shall be evaluate (Functionality, Price and Preferences).  The financial offer will be scored using Formula 2 (Option 1)  - 80 where the financial value inclusive of VAT of one rand value up to a rand value of R 50 000 000.00. | Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule 2 Schedule 3 in accordance with a Allocation of the Fundament W1 is: or more responsive   | number of points 60 40 20 120 the Functionality Crinctionality Criteria to be done in terms of tenders received be awarded according awarded according to the points of th | f Method 2 |  |